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Court Curbing and Populist Rhetoric

Dr Alex Schwartz, Deputy Director of CCPL

So-called "populist" governments are associated with attacks on judicial power and judicial independence. It is assumed that populists are likely to target the courts because an independent judiciary is an obstacle to illiberal populist policies. In other words, court curbing is seen as instrumental to populist objectives. This paper proposes a different relationship between court curbing and populism; drawing on cross-national data, I argue that governing elites who become frustrated by recalcitrant courts are likely to employ "populist" rhetoric to legitimate their attacks on the judiciary. In short, it is argued here that populism is instrumental to court-curbing objectives.

Comparing Foreign Judges

Dr Anna Dziedzic, Associate Director of CCPL

Foreign judges sit on domestic courts in over thirty jurisdictions across the world. However, these jurisdictions use foreign judges in different ways and for different reasons. Drawing on global comparative experiences, this paper develops a framework for comparing the use of foreign judges across three fields: legal regulation, rationale and effect. Understanding the similarities and differences between jurisdictions, and the degree of concurrence between regulation, rationale and effect, can assist jurisdictions to tailor the use of foreign judges to their particular contexts.

Constitutional Convergence in East Asia

Professor Po Jen Yap, Director of CCPL

Hong Kong, Taiwan, and South Korea have the only courts in Asia that regularly use the Proportionality Analysis to invalidate laws. They also have the only courts in Asia that routinely apply innovative constitutional remedies such as Suspension Orders and Remedial Interpretation to rectify constitutionally flawed legislation. In a forthcoming monograph (co-authored with Chien Chih Lin) that will be published by Cambridge University Press, Professor Po Jen Yap will explain and explore this constitutional convergence in East Asia.